

## Notified resource consent application details

**Property address:** 75-79, 81-87, & 89-97 Tamaki Drive, 6, 8-10, 12 & 14 Patteson Avenue, 26, 28, & 30 Marau Crescent, Mission Bay.

**Application number:** BUN60324987

**Applicant name:** Drive Holdings Limited

**Applicant email:** dallan@ellisgould.co.nz & jgoodyer@ellisgould.co.nz

**Application description:** Drive Holdings Limited has applied for resource consent to demolish all existing buildings on the land, construct seven new multi-level buildings extending across the entire area, providing for retail, food & beverage, entertainment (cinema) and residential activities. Land use consent and a ground-water diversion permit is required and assessed together as a discretionary activity under the Auckland Unitary Plan (OIP). Closing date for submissions: Midnight, 10 October 2018.

## Your contact details

**Full name:** Audrey van Ryn

**Organisation name:** Civic Trust Auckland

**Contact phone number:** 09 368 1516

**Email address:** cta@civictrustauckland.org.nz

### Postal address:

PO Box 74049  
Greenlane  
Auckland 1546

## Your submission

**This submission:** opposes the application in whole or in part

### Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

We object to the whole of the application.

### What are the reasons for your submission?

1. All except one of the seven buildings in the proposed development exceeds the recently created permitted height limit of 16m. This would result in the development dominating the Mission Bay area, where all buildings to the east of the proposed development are restricted to 8m. It would potentially create a new baseline against which future applications might be assessed.
2. The Mission Bay beachfront area is enjoyed by locals, Auckland and New Zealand visitors, and tourists for its seaside and reserve amenity and natural character. Buildings from the development would intrude into the view from Selwyn Reserve, an outlook which is currently bordered by the sea on one side and pohutukawa on the other. There would be a strong visual impact from many other parts of the suburb. The visual assessment appears to have been considered almost entirely from the point of view of people traversing along Tamaki Drive and the water's edge. The development must surely be considered from a number of different vantage points within the area, including the residential locality of Selwyn Ave and Atkin Ave, which currently enjoys views of the sea but which as a result of this application

would be lost.

3. A better development at Mission Bay would improve facilities such as public spaces for sitting, dining and browsing, not only for locals but also for those making day excursions around the eastern bays.

4. The claim by the developer that his gateway to the bay provides a focal point overlooks the fact that the bay itself is and should be the primary focal point and that development at the scale proposed is likely to be over-dominant.

**What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?**

Decline the application in full.

**Are you a trade competitor of the applicant?** I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

**Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission?** Yes

**If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing:** Yes